

RURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
MANIPUR STATE RURAL ROADS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (MSRRDA),
(DEPARTMENT OF RD & PR, GOVERNMENT OF MANIPUR)
4th FLOOR, SECURED OFFICE COMPLEX, A.T. LINE, NORTH AOC : IMPHAL, MANIPUR.
NIT NO: 5/16/2017-MSRRDA/E-Tender/Ph-XI(B-I, 2017-18)

Dated, Imphal the 31st October, 2017

TECHNICAL BID RE-EVALUATION


As per Clause 22.6 of the prescribed SBD of PMGSY Phase-XI (Batch-I) i.e., "The result of evaluation of Part-I of the Bids shall be made public on eprocurement systems following which there will be a period of five working days during which any bidder may submit complaint which shall be considered for resolution before opening Part-II of the bid", one bidder namely **Shri L. S. Asheli** has submitted a complaint/ request against the Technical Bid Evaluation report of PMGSY Phase-XI (Batch-I) dtd. 17/03/2018.

Accordingly the duly constituted Technical Bid Evaluation Committee of PMGSY Phase-XI (batch-I) has rechecked/ re-evaluated all the bids (documents) of **Package No. MN-TML0701** after a complaint filed by **Shri L.S. Asheli** on 23/03/2018 and the details of finding are as follows:-

Name of the complainant: Shri L.S. Asheli	
Against the bid (s) of : Shri M. Bobo Singh	
Complaints/ Requests	Findings during evaluation & re-evaluation of Technical Bid
1. Bar chart for Action plan is not illegible and hence not entertainable and hence bid cannot be considered for acceptance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ As per Clause 12.1 Part I (V) bidder requires to show both Physical & Financial Projected Progress in the Program Execution & Cash flow chart of Action Plan. ➤ Submitted Action Plan by the bidder has both Physical and Financial flow chart.
2. Qualification of Shri Oinam Ibopishak Singh claimed as Diploma Holder in Civil Engineer is not supported by documentary evidence.	Found only affidavit declaration but No Qualification Certificate for one individual Shri Oinam Ibopishak Singh claimed as Diploma Holder in Civil Engineer.
3. Credit Facility obtained from Manipur Rural Bank, Hiyangthang Branch but no banker details of the bank has been provided for reference of the Employer and hence the information is incomplete.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Clause 4.2(g): evidence of access to line(s) of credit and availability of other financial resources/ facilities (10 percent of the contract value) certified by banker (the certificate being not more than 3 months old.). ➤ The bidder has submitted a Credit Facility Certificate issued by Manipur Rural Bank, Hiyangthang Branch on the bank's letter head having all necessary details like Bank Address, Contact number and email of the Banker. So no point of missing banker details.
4. In the Form of Bid Part-I bidder has not furnished the Title of the Signatory.	The bidder has submitted Form of Bid Part-I on his Letter Head having all necessary details.

Committee's Decision after re-verification/ re-evaluation:


The complaint submitted by Shri L.S. Asheli has been duly considered by the Tender Committee and found complaint no. 1, 3 & 4 not feasible for any action. However **complaint no. 2** is found to be acceptable. Therefore, the Committee's earlier decision of acceptance of the bids of Shri M. Bobo Singh is revoked and all the bids of Shri M. Bobo Singh are rejected by the duly constituted Tender Committee based on the above ground.


 (ITNO, RED/ MSRRDA)

(FC, RED/ MSRRDA)


 (SE-I, RED/ MSRRDA)


 (SE-II, RED/ MSRRDA)


 (SE (Plg.), RED/ MSRRDA)


 (Addl. CE, RED/ MSRRDA)


 (CE/ EO, RED/ MSRRDA)