

**RURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT**  
**MANIPUR STATE RURAL ROADS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (MSRRDA),**  
**(DEPARTMENT OF RD & PR, GOVERNMENT OF MANIPUR)**  
**4<sup>th</sup> FLOOR, SECURED OFFICE COMPLEX, A.T. LINE, NORTH AOC : IMPHAL, MANIPUR.**  
**NIT NO: 5/16/2017-MSRRDA/E-Tender/Ph-XI(B-I, 2017-18)**

Dated, Imphal the 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2017

## TECHNICAL BID RE-EVALUATION


As per Clause 22.6 of the prescribed SBD of PMGSY Phase-XI (Batch-I) i.e., "The result of evaluation of Part-I of the Bids shall be made public on eprocurement systems following which there will be a period of five working days during which any bidder may submit complaint which shall be considered for resolution before opening Part-II of the bid", one bidder namely **Shri L. S. Asheli** has submitted a complaint/ request against the Technical Bid Evaluation report of PMGSY Phase-XI (Batch-I) dtd. 17/03/2018.

Accordingly the duly constituted Technical Bid Evaluation Committee of PMGSY Phase-XI (batch-I) has rechecked/ re-evaluated all the bids (documents) of **Package No. MN07148** after a complaint filed by Shri L.S. Asheli on 23/03/2018 and the details of finding are as follows:-

<b>Name of the complainant:</b> Shri L.S. Asheli	
<b>Against the bid (s) of :</b> Shri Ashing Kabui	
<b>Complaints/ Requests</b>	<b>Findings during evaluation &amp; re-evaluation of Technical Bid</b>
1. No signature of the bidder i.e., Shri Ashing Kabui on the Bar Chart of Action Plan.	Since All the documents uploaded by the bidder on the tendering site are <b>digitally signed by bidder's DSC</b> (Digital Signature Certificate), all the online submitted documents are considered as signed by the bidder except all affidavits and for those documents for which a specific format is given in the SBD.
2. No Signature of the bidder on the Affidavit Declaration of major items of construction material.	Found no signature of the bidder on the said Affidavit Declaration.
3. Credit Facility obtained from Axis Bank, Paona Bazar but no banker details of the bank has been provided for reference of the Employer and hence the information is incomplete.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <b>Clause 4.2(g):</b> evidence of access to line(s) of credit and availability of other financial resources/ facilities (10 percent of the contract value) certified by banker (the certificate being not more than 3 months old.).</li> <li>➤ The bidder has submitted a Credit Facility Certificate issued by Axis Bank, Paona Bazar on the bank's letter head but <b>the letter head has no banker details</b> like Bank Address, Contact number and email of the Banker. On the other hand the bidder has not provided the details information of the banker separately too.</li> </ul>

***Committee's Decision after re-verification/ re-evaluation:***


The complaint submitted by Shri L.S. Asheli has been duly considered by the Tender Committee and found complaint no. 1 not feasible for any action. However **complaint no. 2 & 3** are found to be to be acceptable. Therefore, the Committee's earlier decision of acceptance of the bids of Shri Ashing Kabui is revoked and all the bids of Shri Ashing Kabui are rejected by the duly constituted Tender Committee based on the above ground.

  
(ITNO, RED/ MSRRDA)

(FC, RED/ MSRRDA)

  
(SE-I, RED/ MSRRDA)

  
(SE-II, RED/ MSRRDA)

  
(SE (Plg.), RED/ MSRRDA)

  
(Addl. CE, RED/ MSRRDA)

  
(CE/ EO, RED/ MSRRDA)